ACADEMIC HONOR CODE

Saint Leo University holds all students to the highest standards of honesty and personal integrity in every phase of their academic life. All students have a responsibility to uphold the Academic Honor Code by refraining from any form of academic misconduct, presenting only work that is genuinely their own, and reporting any observed instance of academic dishonesty to a faculty member.

ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT

Academic misconduct includes but is not limited to the following categories:

A. Cheating:
   - Providing or receiving academic work to or from another student without the permission of the instructor/professor.
   - Buying or selling academic work.
   - Violating test conditions.
   - Forging academic documents.
   - Copying computer programs.

B. Plagiarism:
   - Stealing and passing off the ideas and words of another as one's own or using the work of another without crediting the source whether that source is authored by a professional or a peer.
   - Submitting an article or quoted material from a periodical or the internet as one's own.
   - Retyping or re-titling another student's paper and handing it in as one’s own.
   - Intentionally or unintentionally failing to cite a source.

C. Complicity:
   - Helping another student commit an act of academic dishonesty.

D. Misrepresentation:
   - Resubmitting previous work, in whole or in part, for a current assignment without the written consent of the current instructor(s).
   - Having another student complete one’s own assignments, quizzes, or exams.
   - Lying to a professor.
   - Fabricating a source.

ADJUDICATION

It is the responsibility of every member of the faculty and student body to cooperate in supporting the honor system. Any member of the University community suspecting an Academic Honor Code violation should immediately refer the matter
directly to the faculty member teaching the course in which the possible violation took place. For any suspected violation that occurs within a course, the faculty member must discuss the evidence in private with the student and tell the student to continue in the class. If, during the course of an Academic Honor Code violation investigation, the committee determines that other violations of the Academic Honor Code have potentially occurred, the committee may pursue investigating the new violations.

All faculty teaching courses at University Campus, any education center, and online including Center for Online Learning, Distance Learning, and Graduate Programs must follow the procedure below to report either an in-class sanction or to request a hearing.

**Reporting Process**

Faculty must report any in-class sanction issued to a student using the Academic Honor Code Reporting System in eLion. Sanctions should be levied according to the seriousness of the offense. An instructor may issue an in-class sanction of either 1) assigning a zero for the assignment or 2) allowing a resubmission of the assignment with a reduced grade. An instructor may not issue an F for the course. If the instructor believes the violation warrants a sanction of failure for the course, the appropriate Academic Standards Committee will hold a hearing.

The student will have the opportunity to appeal the allegation and/or the in-class sanction within 5 days of receipt of the notification. If the student appeals the allegation and/or sanction, a hearing will be scheduled with the appropriate Academic Standards Committee.

Once a faculty member submits the report to the Academic Honor Code Reporting System, the system will automatically send a notice to the student, the faculty member and the Registrar. If a submission to the Online Academic Honor Code Reporting System results in a second offense for a student, the appropriate Academic Standards Committee will be notified and a hearing will be scheduled.

If the instructor of record would prefer to have the committee hear the case instead of imposing an in-class sanction, a request for a hearing must be submitted using the Online Academic Honor Code Reporting System in eLion. (See Required Documentation for a Hearing)

**Required Documentation for a Hearing**

If a faculty member wants to request a hearing, the faculty member must provide the following information to either the current Chair of the Undergraduate Academic Standards Committee, the Chair of the Graduate Academic Standards
Committee (emailed to gasc@saintleo.edu), or the appropriate Center or COL Director/Assistant Director:

1. The faculty member's charge against the student.
2. A copy of the course syllabus.
3. The dates of the events as they occurred.
4. Any supporting evidence such as a copy of the assignment or exam in question as well as a copy of the Turnitin.com originality report associated with the assignment or exam.
5. A summary of the discussion or copies of emails between the student and the faculty member, including any admission or denial of guilt by the student.
6. Statement from another student to corroborate suspected violation and other evidence if necessary.
7. A statement addressing the extent to which the Academic Honor Code policy is covered in class.

Upon receipt of the faculty member's report, the Academic Standards Committee or an ad hoc committee appointed by the Center Director will schedule a hearing and inform the student, in writing, of the date and time of the hearing and include a copy of the faculty member's report. A student cannot avoid a sanction by withdrawing from the course and is not permitted to withdraw from a course while the allegation is under investigation. The Committee will hold the hearing whether or not the student chooses to attend. After reviewing the evidence, the Committee will render a decision on the charge and determine any sanctions that are appropriate.

The student may appeal the Committee’s decision to the Vice President of Academic Affairs within 5 days of receipt of the notification of the official report, who may issue an appellate decision on behalf of the University. The final authority rests with the Vice President of Academic Affairs.

SANCTIONS

The sanction for a first violation of the Academic Honor Code could range from zero for the assignment to dismissal from the university, depending on the nature of the violation, but the usual sanction is failure of the course. The minimum sanction for a subsequent offense is failure of the course, but the usual sanction is suspension or dismissal from the university.

For additional information, faculty members should contact either the Committee Chair or the appropriate Center or COL Director/Assistant Director.